

January 23, 1976

action at this time will be detrimental to the rurals, and will be detrimental to the cities, and detrimental to the State of Nebraska in that the question will just be back. Only when it comes back it will come back with problems that are not identified as they are identified at this time. The game rules will be different. There will be different problems than the ones we're identifying now. There is no doubt in this Legislature that this is an extremely devisive question for our first class cities, and third class school districts and our sixth class school districts.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Two minutes, Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Thank you. It will not be my intention to put an indefinite postponement motion on this bill. However, those who are thinking in introspective of what you're doing to the social structures in the first class cities, third class school districts and the rural environs, the passage of this bill at this time will just, in my opinion, complete a complete uproar.

I do not believe that we are prepared at this time to accept any type of an amendment or compromise because the solutions are not clear. I further believe that if this Legislature continues the excellent work, that was carried on by the Revenue Committee and the Education Committee this interim period, these activities are fruitful and they're extremely necessary. It is my intention to oppose LB 383 and to vote against it. Should there be a kill motion I would support it.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Senator Frank Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, I repeat once again I have no vested interest in the bill. I do say to you that there is a problem that needs to be taken care of in terms of the fight that Senator Kelly spoke of. This puts me in an awkward position because usually most of my educational adversaries happen to be on the same side that I'm on. I don't know who that's the most uncomfortable for.

The situation is this, number one, those who try to make the same analysis between cities and schools will find that holds no water. I asked a couple of them if they would accept an amendment then, if we want to make sure if cities and schools are contiguous. They would accept an amendment that I would make this also apply as three's annex into three's, and as three's annex into five's, and as four's annex into one's. I think you'll find that that's really not acceptable, although that would be of an advantage to me, a great advantage.

The bill has long been debated. There was a full hearing before the Education Committee. I can certainly appreciate Senator Kelly's concern. Again I think we're back with an infight among entities of government without much concern of the recipient of the service. If someone will make the case for me now that the children in Grand Island Northwest are not getting a full educational treatment,